

Response ID ANON-X4AN-M61N-W

Submitted to **Review of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972 - Phase Two consultation**
Submitted on **2019-05-30 13:31:12**

Introduction

What is your name?

Name:

Name Withheld 005

What is your email address?

Email:

What is your organisation?

Organisation:

Submissions will be published as part of the consultation process. Do you wish to have your name removed from your submission?

Yes

Proposal 1

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

The new heritage act must be consistent and legislated.

Happy with the new proposed legislation.

New act must ensure access to heritage sites for Aboriginal people.

Proposal 2

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Rivers and Oceans not protected under Native Title, new act to protect these.

Proposal 3a

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

The old heritage act allowed anyone to talk for country, the wrong people were speaking for others country.

LAHS to comprise of Traditional Owner's only

Mine approvals need to be assessed by LAHS

Rangers to be employed to be local representatives.

LAHS to be funded through government funding.

Existing working groups will speak for everyone.

Government to decide who are the right Traditional Owners and who speak for country.

Proposal 3b

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Proposal 3c

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

More time to appeal decisions (once decisions are advertised) so everyone gets a chance to have their say on big decisions.
3 month cooling off period around decisions that have big impacts on heritage sites.

Proposal 3d

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Rivers and oceans to be included in register.
50km out in ocean to be protected around Port Hedland for Karriyarra group like in other areas, currently excluded.
More DPLH regional representatives, male and females.

Proposal 3e

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Reports to reflect the right people speaking for country.

Proposal 4

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Proposal 5

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Proposal 6

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Proposal 7

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

"Rights and interests" wording to be removed from policy, Traditional Owners only.

Appeals should only be made to Traditional Owners.

Clear outlines of DPLH staff and their functions.

Proposal 8

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Case findings from investigations should be published when companies/ individuals are charged.

Ranger power for fines enforcement.

Proposal 9

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Once an area is declared protected the boundaries cant be changed, cant lift the protected status to change the boundaries.