

Response ID ANON-8EBD-41PJ-2

Submitted to **Review of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972**

Submitted on **2018-05-17 09:13:16**

About You

Are you submitting a response as an individual, or on behalf of your organisation?

Individual

Individual details

Are you of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent?

No

What is your name?

Optional:

Amber Edmonds

Do you give permission for your name to be published with your feedback?

Yes

Purpose of the Act

Question 1

Yes

If not, what changes should be made?:

Roles under the Act

Question 2

2 - who should be consulted?:

Make sure that representatives of the appropriate and pertinent aboriginal communities are consulted.

Question 3

Ineffective

How can the provision to appoint honorary wardens be improved?:

Make sure honorary wardens are people from and of that land.

Question 4

No opinion

Role and functions - Minister:

No

Role and functions - Registrar:

Unsure

No opinion

Role and functions - Committee:

No

Role and functions - DPLH:

What is Protected?

Question 5

Yes

5. How can section 5 be improved?:

Question 6

Yes

6. How can section 6 / Part VI be improved?:

Question 7

No

Additional comments:

The protection needs to be enforced and sustained.

Question 8

Yes

8. what needs to be considered?:

Protection of skeletal remains

Protection and Enforcement

Question 9

9. Activities that should require consent or authorisation:

Mining and development

Question 10

10. Criteria to evaluate activities that may affect a site:

As a non Aboriginal person it's not my call

Question 11

11. What is an impact in relation to sacred sites?:

As above. Need to liaise with those from the land

Question 12

12. consent / authorisation for proposals that will affect sites:

Aboriginals from that region

Question 13

Ineffective

13. How s18 can be improved?:

Unsure

Question 14

14. provisions for long-term protection of sites:

Aboriginal approval required

Question 15

No

15. How can enforcement provisions be improved?:

Higher fines or jail time, education

Question 16

No

16. How can penalties be improved?:

Fines are fairly insignificant for large companies

Site Assessment and Registration

Question 17

No opinion

17. Why shouldn't a defence be provided?:

Question 18

Yes

18. What should the criteria be?:

Question 19

19. Steps to report place or object:

Aboriginal consultation

19. Steps to nominate a place or object:

As above

19. Steps to assess a place or object:

As above

19. Steps to enter a place or object on the Register:

As above

19. Steps to amend a place or object on Register:

As above

19. Steps to remove place or object from Register:

As above

Other Parts of the Act

Question 20

20. What's missing from the Act?:

Unsure

Question 21

No opinion

21. Sections to be removed from Act?:

Any other comments

Any other comments: