

Response ID ANON-8EBD-41RW-H

Submitted to **Review of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972**

Submitted on **2018-05-28 09:31:16**

About You

Are you submitting a response as an individual, or on behalf of your organisation?

Individual

Individual details

Are you of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent?

No

What is your name?

Optional:

Do you give permission for your name to be published with your feedback?

No

Purpose of the Act

Question 1

No

If not, what changes should be made?:

Roles under the Act

Question 2

2 - who should be consulted?:

By an assessment process.

Question 3

No opinion

How can the provision to appoint honorary wardens be improved?:

Question 4

No opinion

Role and functions - Minister:

No opinion

Role and functions - Registrar:

No opinion

Role and functions - Committee:

No opinion

Role and functions - DPLH:

What is Protected?

Question 5

No opinion

5. How can section 5 be improved?:

Question 6

No opinion

6. How can section 6 / Part VI be improved?:

Question 7

No opinion

Additional comments:

Question 8

Yes

8. what needs to be considered?:

Protection and Enforcement

Question 9

9. Activities that should require consent or authorisation:

All impacts, except emergency woorks - i.e. bushfire.

Question 10

10. Criteria to evaluate activities that may affect a site:

Levels of previous ground disturbance and residual cultural heritage.

Question 11

11. What is an impact in relation to sacred sites?:

Seek input from TOs and identify high risk, liklihood factors.

Question 12

12. consent / authorisation for proposals that will affect sites:

Native Title Holders.

Question 13

No opinion

No opinion

13. How s18 can be improved?:

Question 14

No opinion

14. provisions for long-term protection of sites:

Question 15

No opinion

15. How can enforcement provisions be improved?:

Question 16

No

16. How can penalties be improved?:

Qld penalties are much higher - i.e. a company harming CH can be fined up to 1.3 million and jail penalties are possible.

Site Assessment and Registration

Question 17

No opinion

17. Why shouldn't a defence be provided?:

Question 18

No opinion

18. What should the criteria be?:

Question 19

No opinion

19. Steps to report place or object:

No opinion

19. Steps to nominate a place or object:

No opinion

19. Steps to assess a place or object:

No opinion

19. Steps to enter a place or object on the Register:

No opinion

19. Steps to amend a place or object on Register:

No opinion

19. Steps to remove place or object from Register:

Other Parts of the Act

Question 20

No opinion

20. What's missing from the Act?:

Question 21

No opinion

21. Sections to be removed from Act?:

Any other comments

Any other comments: