

Response ID ANON-X4AN-M61P-Y

Submitted to **Review of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972 - Phase Two consultation**
Submitted on **2019-05-15 12:01:14**

Introduction

What is your name?

Name:
Name Withheld 001

What is your email address?

Email:

What is your organisation?

Organisation:

Submissions will be published as part of the consultation process. Do you wish to have your name removed from your submission?

Yes

Proposal 1

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Proposal 2

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Proposal 3a

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

I would consider the governance around the establishment of the LHS to ensure that stakeholders have confidence in the role and the advice. It may be useful to provide some guidelines or regulations around the LHS functions, is there a length of terms for participants etc.

Proposal 3b

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Will agreements be externally facing? This would be ideal to be able to identify if an agreement exists as part of the register or mapping, and would also help to identify if an agreement needs to be established or modified. This would assist the statutory assessment process and would also encourage consultation and

referral of applications giving statutory parties comfort in the process and due diligence.

Proposal 3c

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Proposal 3d

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

There needs to be confidence in the 2 way input/review of the register, especially in the function and role of the LHS.

Proposal 3e

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Suggest that a preferred provider list or some sort of register be used to refer clients to when a professional is required, similar to register Bushfire professionals.

Proposal 4

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Increased confidence in the data and the governance/ processes surrounding data changes to be mapped/established. Where uncertainty exists, the LHS needs to be accountable to provide that clarity.

Proposal 5

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

If approvals are granted early in the process, there needs to be a formal letter or approval process that is then required to be provided to the assessing authority also, or a copy provided to the relevant authority as an advice. This is especially valuable to statutory bodies when reviewing mining tenement leases or changes to existing lease. If all the information is supplied up front, then assessment timeframes and confidence in the material provided would be improved.

Proposal 6

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Proposal 7

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Proposal 8

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

Proposal 9

Will the proposal deliver the desired outcome?

Yes

If no, why not?:

What changes would you suggest and why?:

gain, if approval is granted for active management on sites/places, this approval needs to be provided to the relevant Shire/Council body to which the land is located.