



Perth Local Development Assessment Panel Minutes

Meeting Date and Time: Thursday, 1 April 2021; 10am
Meeting Number: PLDAP/113
Meeting Venue: City of Perth Committee Room 1,
Council House, 27 St Georges Terrace, Perth

1 Table of Contents

1.	Opening of Meeting, Welcome and Acknowledgement.....	2
2.	Apologies.....	3
3.	Members on Leave of Absence	3
4.	Noting of Minutes.....	3
5.	Declaration of Due Consideration.....	3
6.	Disclosure of Interests.....	3
7.	Deputations and Presentations.....	3
8.	Form 1 – Responsible Authority Reports – DAP Applications.....	3
	Nil	3
9.	Form 2 – Responsible Authority Reports – DAP Amendment or Cancellation of Approval	4
	9.1 Lot 1335 (1) and Lot 1334 - Site 3 (21) Mounts Bay Road, Perth.....	4
10.	State Administrative Tribunal Applications and Supreme Court Appeals	5
	Nil	5
11.	General Business.....	5
12.	Meeting Closure	5



Attendance

DAP Members

Mr Ray Haeren (Presiding Member)
Ms Lindsay Baxter (A/Deputy Presiding Member)
Ms Diana Goldswain (Third Specialist Member)
Cr Viktor Ko (Local Government Member, City of Perth)

Officers in attendance

Mr Ben Hesketh (Western Australian Planning Commission)
Mr Darren Leicester (Western Australian Planning Commission)
Mr Dewald Gericke (City of Perth)
Mr Craig Smith (City of Perth)
Mr Shervin Family (City of Perth)

Minute Secretary

Ms Ashlee Rutigliano (City of Perth)

Applicants and Submitters

Mr Peter Simpson (PTS Town Planning)
Mr Ian Lomas (Woods Bagot)

Members of the Public / Media

Nil

1. Opening of Meeting, Welcome and Acknowledgement

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 10.04am on 1 April 2021 and acknowledged the traditional owners and paid respect to Elders past and present of the land on which the meeting was being held.

The Presiding Member announced the meeting would be run in accordance with the DAP Standing Orders 2020 under the *Planning and Development (Development Assessment Panels) Regulations 2011*.

1.1 Announcements by Presiding Member

The Presiding Member advised that in accordance with Section 5.16 of the DAP Standing Orders 2020 which states '*A person must not use any electronic, visual or audio recording device or instrument to record the proceedings of the DAP meeting unless the Presiding Member has given permission to do so.*', the meeting would not be recorded.

Mr Ray Haeren
Presiding Member, Perth LDAP



2. Apologies

Mr Jarrod Ross (Deputy Presiding Member)
Mr Brian Curtis (A/ Deputy Presiding Member)
Cr Brent Fleeton (Local Government Member, City of Perth)

3. Members on Leave of Absence

Nil

4. Noting of Minutes

DAP members noted that signed minutes of previous meetings are available on the [DAP website](#).

5. Declaration of Due Consideration

All members declared that they had duly considered the documents.

6. Disclosure of Interests

DAP Member, Mr Ray Haeren, declared an Impartiality Interest in item 9.1. Brookfield have been a client of Urbis nationally, of whom Mr Haeren is a director and employee. Urbis WA have only undertaken a discreet piece of work for the infrastructure and industrial group via their Brisbane office but have not undertaken any work for Brookfield in relation to its office assets in WA.

In accordance with section 6.2 and 6.3 of the DAP Standing Orders 2020, the A/Deputy Presiding Member, Mr Brian Curtis determined that the member listed above, who had disclosed an Impartiality Interest, was permitted to participate in the discussion and voting on the item.

7. Deputations and Presentations

7.1 Mr Peter Simpson (PTS Town Planning) addressed the DAP in support of the recommendation for the application at Item 9.1 .

7.2 Mr Ian Lomas (Woods Bagot) addressed the DAP in support of the recommendation for the application at Item 9.1 and responded to questions from the panel.

8. Form 1 – Responsible Authority Reports – DAP Applications

Nil



9. Form 2 – Responsible Authority Reports – DAP Amendment or Cancellation of Approval

9.1 Lot 1335 (1) and Lot 1334 - Site 3 (21) Mounts Bay Road, Perth

Development Description:	Amendments to previously approved eight storey office building and refurbishment of Elizabeth Quay bus station
Proposed Amendments:	Office built form, deletion of child care centre and extension of time
Applicant:	PTS Town Planning Pty Ltd
Owner:	State of Western Australia (Public Transport Authority) Brookfield PCEC Office Landowner Pty Ltd
Responsible Authority:	Western Australian Planning Commission
DAP File No:	DAP/18/01461

REPORT RECOMMENDATION

Moved by: Cr Viktor Ko

Seconded by: Ms Lindsay Baxter

That the City of Perth Local Development Assessment Panel resolves to:

1. **Accept** that the DAP Application reference DAP/18/01461 as detailed on the DAP Form 2 dated 11 December 2020 is appropriate for consideration in accordance with regulation 17 of the *Planning and Development (Development Assessment Panels) Regulations 2011*;
2. **Approve** DAP Application reference DAP/18/01461 and accompanying plans date stamped 26 February 2021 by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage on behalf of Western Australian Planning Commission, in accordance with clause 30(1) of the *Metropolitan Region Scheme*, subject to the following amended condition:

Amended Conditions

1. Development is to be carried out in accordance with the plans date-stamped 26 February 2021 by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage on behalf of the Western Australian Planning Commission, subject to any modifications required by the conditions of approval.
2. This decision is valid for a period of two years from the date of this Form 2 approval. If the subject development is not substantially commenced within the two year period, the approval shall lapse and be of no further effect.

All other conditions and requirements detailed on the previous approval dated 18 December 2018 remain unchanged.

The Report Recommendation was put and CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr Ray Haeren
Presiding Member, Perth LDAP



REASON:

- The modified design was considered to provide improved amenity both internally and in appearance;
- The scale of the proposal was broadly consistent with the previous approval
- The proposal was considered to be appropriate to the site context
- The proposal was supported by both City and DPLH staff and design development had incorporated feedback from the DRP

10. State Administrative Tribunal Applications and Supreme Court Appeals

Nil

11. General Business

The Presiding Member announced that in accordance with Section 7.3 of the DAP Standing Orders 2020 only the Presiding Member may publicly comment on the operations or determinations of a DAP and other DAP members should not be approached to make comment.

12. Meeting Closure

There being no further business, the Presiding Member declared the meeting closed at 10.34.